# Asian Resonance Modelling the Effect of Treatment in The Dynamics of HIV/AIDS in **An Age-Structured Population**



### Dileep Sharma Assistant Professor.

Dept. of Mathematics, D. B. S.(P.G) .College, Dehradun, India

## Abstract

In this paper, we use a continuous age-structured model to derive a two-age groups HIV/AIDS epidemic model. We assume that HIV infection confers treatment, and the infective agent can be transmitted not only by horizontally but also vertically from adult individuals to their newborn. The model is first derived as a system of partial differential equations, and then age groups are defined so that by adding up all the individuals within each age group, the model reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations. In the analysis of the model, keen interest is put on the role of treatment; in the dynamics of the spread of the epidemic. The model is analyzed when the force of infection is a constant. In the this case, the only possible equilibrium is the endemic equilibrium. The model is analyzed by using stability at both the diseasefree and endemic equilibrium exists. The model is analyzed by using stability theory of differential equation and numerical simulation. The model analysis shows that the increase in treatment will decrease the epidemic and the epidemic slows down more rapidly if the treated infectives do not take part in the sexual contact. Finally, in order to verify our theoretical results, some numerical simulations are also included.

Keywords: Vertical Transmission, Treatment, Age-Structure, HIV-Prevalence, Local Stability, Global Stability.

### Introduction

In this paper, we consider a mathematical model for the vertical transmission for an epidemic spreading in an age-structured population where the transmission coefficient depends on age. The term vertical transmission means the transmission of a disease from infected mothers to their unborn or newly born babies. It is commonly referred to as mother to child transmission. Examples of the disease that can be transmitted vertically such as gonorrhea, syphilis, herpes, tuberculosis and most recently HIV/AIDS. HIV in children is generally more serious than adults due to faster disease complications and progression [3-8, 13-23]. Vertical transmission of HIV/AIDS has been the principal cause of 80-90% of HIVinfected children [21]. The age-structured epidemic model with vertical transmission have been analyzed by several authors, especially we can refer to Mugisha and Luboobi, Busenberg and Cooke [20, 22].

### **Review of Literature**

Age-structured models are most commonly used to see the most serious impact of HIV/AIDS on a particular age group of interest. such models give clear clue as to which age group of society should be concentrated on in terms of treatment, education and the kind of strategies for containing the spread[9-12]. In particular, Blynthe & Anderson et al. [2] developed an age-structured model to study the effect of sexual activity levels. In Anderson et al. [1], Anderson et al. presented an age-structured model to study the role of sexual contact and proportionate mixing in a population with HIV/AIDS. Loboobi [16] and Mugisha & Luboobi [18] worked with models for the study of the dynamics of HIV/AIDS in a threeage group population. in the models a population divided into three age groups was studied. Mugisha & Luboobi [19] models, the dynamics of HIV/AIDS with a possible vaccination strategy was studied in a two age groups population.

All above described models involve partial differential equation. Our model is derived as a system of partial differential equation then the

model is reduced to a system of ordinary differential equation [20, 24]. Our model is the advancement of the model of J.Y.T. Mugisha and L. S.

Luboobi [20] following respect: herein we consider four dimensional system while they used three dimensional system. We also taken vertical transmission through treated infectives.

In view of the above, in this paper, we have proposed and analyzed a continuous age distribution model of HIV/AIDS with vertical transmission. The numerical analysis of the proposed model is also carried out to investigate the influence of some important parameters on the spread of the disease. **The Basic System** 

Let us divide the host population into four subpopulations; the susceptible class, the normal infective class, the treated infective class and the AIDS patients. The age-density functions of each class are denoted by S(a, t), I(a, t), U(a, t) and A(a, t). Let  $\alpha(a,t)$  is the age-specific force of infection due to normal infectives,  $\gamma(a,t)$  is the age-specific force of infection due to treated infcetves,  $\sigma(a)$  the rate at which normal infectives at age a become treated, v(a) the rate at which normal infectives at age a become AIDS patients, heta(a) the rate at which treated infectives at age a become AIDS patients,  $\mu(a)$  the HIV/AIDS epidemic-free mortality at age a and  $\,d(a)$  the rate at which AIDS patients at age aare dying due to AIDS. Then the basic system(agestructured model) with vertical transmission can be formulated as follows:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -[\alpha(a,t) + \gamma(a,t) + \mu(a)]S(a,t)$$
(2.1)

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = \left[\alpha(a,t) + \gamma(a,t)\right]S(a,t) - \left[\sigma(a) + \nu(a) + \mu(a)\right]I(a,t)$$

(2.4)

(2.5)

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \sigma(a)I(a,t) - [\theta(a) + \mu(a)]U(a,t)$$
(2.3)

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = \theta(a)U(a,t) + v(a)I(a,t) - [d(a) + \mu(a)]U(a,t)$$

With boundary conditions given by

 $S(0,t) = \int_0^M \left[ S(a,t) + (1-\varepsilon)I(a,t) + bU(a,t) \right] \lambda(a) da$ 

Asian Resonance  

$$I(0,t) = \int_{0}^{M} [(1-p)\varepsilon I(a,t)]\lambda(a)da \quad (2.6)$$

$$U(0,t) = \int_{0}^{M} [\varepsilon p I(a,t) + (1-b)U(a,t)]\lambda(a)da \quad (2.7)$$

Where  $\lambda(a)$  is the per capita birth rate age a, S(0,t) is the total number of babies born uninfected, I(0,t) is the total number of babies born infected which are not subjected to treatment and U(0,t) is the total number of babies born infected which are subjected to treatment and  $M < \infty$  is the upper bound of age.  $\mathcal{E}$  is the ratio of that newborns produced from normal infected individuals are vertically infected and remaining part  $(1-\mathcal{E})$  of newborns are susceptibles. *b* is the fraction of babies born HIV free by treated infective mothers. The force of infection is given by

$$\alpha(a,t) = \frac{\int_{0}^{M} \rho(a,\bar{a}) I(\bar{a},t) d\bar{a}}{\int_{0}^{M} n(a,t) da} ,$$
  
$$\gamma(a,t) = \frac{\int_{0}^{M} \eta(a,\bar{a}) U(\bar{a},t) d\bar{a}}{\int_{0}^{M} n(a,t) da} , \qquad (2.8)$$

Where  $\rho(a, \overline{a})$  be the transmission rate between the susceptible individual aged a and the normal infective individual aged  $\overline{a}$ . Similarly  $\eta(a, \overline{a})$  be the transmission rate between the susceptible individual aged *a* and the treated infective individual aged  $\overline{a}$ .

We shall also assume that the AIDS patients have full-blown symptoms and are easily noticeable and not sexually interacted with any other class then the sexually active and interacting number of adults,

$$N(a,t) = S(a,t) + I(a,t) + U(a,t)$$
  
The initial conditions are given by  
$$S(a,t) = S_0(a), \ N(a,t) = N_0(a),$$
  
$$I(a,t) = I_0(a), \ U(a,t) = U_0(a), \qquad (2.9)$$

Where  $\rho(a, \overline{a})$  is the infection coefficient, also commonly interpreted as the probability that a susceptible individual age *a* interacts with a normal infective individuals aged  $\overline{a}$  and becomes infected.

$$S(t) = \int_0^M S(a,t) da, \quad I(t) = \int_0^M I(a,t) da,$$
$$U(t) = \int_0^M U(a,t) da,$$

We use this model to formulate an HIV/AIDS model with two age groups mopdel where Group I is made up of sexually immature children and Group II is made up of sexually mature and active adults. We model the dynamics of the spread in heterosexual

transmission made up of ordinary differential equations.

Derivation of the Two-Age Groups HIV/AIDS Model Let the population be divided in 2-age groups

by the age intervals $[a_i, a_{i-1})$  for j = 1, 2, where

 $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 = M$ . The respective number of susceptibles and infective cases in the jth age group  $[a_i, a_{i-1})$  is given by

$$S_{j}(t) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} S(a,t) da$$

$$I_{j}(t) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} I(a,t) da$$

$$U_{j}(t) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} U(a,t) da$$

$$A_{j}(t) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} A(a,t) da$$
(3.1)

Assume that at the start of epidemic, the population is at steady age distribution with exponential growth in all the classes so that  $N(a,t) = e^{qt}W(a)$  and the number of individuals in the age interval  $[a_i, a_{i-1})$  is

$$N_{j}(t) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} N(a,t) da = e^{qt} \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} W(a) da = e^{qt} P_{j}$$
(3.2)

Where 
$$\int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_j} W(a) da = P_j$$
 is the size of he

*j*th age group at steady state at time t = 0 and W(a) is the total population at a and q is he intrinsic rate of growth of population at steady age distribution. For  $a_{i-1} \leq a \leq a_i$  let  $\mu(a) = \mu_i$ ,  $\nu(a) = \nu_i$ ,  $\sigma(a) = \sigma_i$ . Let the age specific infection rate be class-dependent and written as  $lpha(a,t) = lpha_i$  and  $\gamma(a,t) = \gamma_i$  and assume a constant birth rate  $\lambda(a) = \lambda_i$  such that our renewal equations become

$$S(0,t) = \int_0^M \left[ S(a,t) + (1-\varepsilon)I(a,t) + bU(a,t) \right] \lambda(a) da$$
$$S(0,t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left[ S_j(t) + (1-\varepsilon)I_j(t) + bU_j(t) \right] \lambda_j$$
(3.3)

$$I(0,t) = \int_{0}^{m} \left[ (1-p) \varepsilon I(a,t) \right] \lambda(a) da$$
$$I(0,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ (1-p) \varepsilon I_{j}(a) \right] \lambda_{j}$$
(3.4)

# Asian Resonance

$$U(0,t) = \int_{0}^{M} \left[ \epsilon p I(a,t) + (1-b)U(a,t) \right] \lambda(a) da$$
$$U(0,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ \epsilon p I_{j}(t) + (1-b)U_{j}(t) \right] \lambda_{j} \quad (3.5)$$

With

$$N(0,t) = S(0,t) + I(0,t) + U(0,t) = e^{qt}W(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \lambda_j P_j$$

For each j = 1,2, we allow transfer between the two age groups to be through constants  $c_i$  called transfer rate constants so that the way an individual, in each epidemiological class, crosses into another age group is described by

$$S(a_{j},t) = c_{j}S_{j}(t), \ I(a_{j},t) = c_{j}I_{j}(t), U(a_{j},t) = c_{j}U_{j}(t), \ A(a_{j},t) = c_{j}A_{j}(t), W(a_{j}) = c_{j}P_{j}$$

The transfer rate constants  $c_i$  are given by the reciprocal of the average length of the *j*th interval 11, 17],

$$c_j = \frac{1}{a_j - a_{j-1}}$$

Consider the fractions of the *j*th group in the epidemiological classes as  $\sim$ 

$$s_{j}(t) = \frac{S_{j}(t)}{N_{j}(t)} \quad s_{j}(t) = \frac{S_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}}$$
  
(3.6)

$$s'_{j}(t) = \frac{S'_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}} - qs_{j}(t)$$

$$i_{j}(t) = \frac{I_{j}(t)}{N_{j}(t)} \quad i_{j}(t) = \frac{I_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}}$$
(3.7)

$$i'_{j}(t) = \frac{I'_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}} - qi_{j}(t)$$

$$u_{j}(t) = \frac{U_{j}(t)}{N_{j}(t)} \qquad u_{j}(t) = \frac{U_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}}$$
(3.8)

$$u'_{j}(t) = \frac{U'_{j}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{j}} - qu_{j}(t)$$

For the force of infection defined in equation (2.8), let the constant rate  $\rho(a, \overline{a}) = \rho_{ik}$ , for  $a \in [a_i, a_{i-1})$  represent a constant interaction between susceptibles in the jth age group and infectives in the kth age group

P: ISSN No. 0976-8602

# Asian Resonance

E: ISSN No. 2349-9443 2 2

$$\alpha_{j}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_{jk} I_{k}(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} N_{k}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_{jk} e^{qt} P_{k} i_{k}(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{qt} P_{j}} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_{jk} P_{k} i_{k}(t)$$

From this, we have for *j*=1, the force of infection in Group I given by

$$\alpha_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_{1k} P_k i_k(t) = \rho_{11} P_1 i_1(t) + \rho_{12} P_2 i_2(t)$$

and for j=2, the force of infection in Group II given by  $\alpha_2(t) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \rho_{2k} P_k i_k(t) = \rho_{21} P_1 i_1(t) + \rho_{22} P_2 i_2(t)$ 

Similarly  $\eta(a, \overline{a}) = \eta_{jk}$ 

$$\eta_{j}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \eta_{jk} U_{k}(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} N_{k}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \eta_{jk} e^{qt} P_{k} u_{k}(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} e^{qt} P_{j}} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \eta_{jk} P_{k} u_{k}(t)$$
  
for *j*=1  $\gamma_{1}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \eta_{1k} P_{k} i_{k}(t) = \eta_{11} P_{1} u_{1}(t) + \eta_{12} P_{2} u_{2}(t)$ 

for *j*=2  $\gamma_2(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \eta_{2k} P_k i_k(t) = \eta_{21} P_1 u_1(t) + \eta_{22} P_2 u_2(t)$ their no served interaction among individuals in group L and both

their no sexual interaction among individuals in group I and between individuals in group I and group II, we have all the terms in  $\rho_{11}$ ,  $\rho_{12}$ ,  $\rho_{21}$ ,  $\eta_{11}$ ,  $\eta_{12}$  and  $\eta_{21}$  zero. Thus, we have  $\alpha_1(t) = 0$ ,  $\gamma_1(t) = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2(t) = \rho_{22}P_2i_2(t)$  and  $\gamma_2(t) = \eta_{22}P_2u_2(t)$ . Integrating equation (2.1) w.r.t. *a*, over  $[a_j, a_{j-1})$  gives

$$S(a_{j},t) - S(a_{j-1},t) + \frac{dS_{j}}{dt} = -\alpha_{j} \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} S(a,t) da - \gamma_{j} \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} S(a,t) da - \mu_{j} \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j}} S(a,t) da \quad (3.9)$$
and using the first expression of eq. 3.1

$$S(a_{j},t) - S(a_{j-1},t) + \frac{dS_{j}}{dt} = -\alpha_{j}S_{j}(t) - \gamma_{j}S_{j}(t) - \mu_{j}S_{j}(t)$$
(3.10)  
for *j*=1 eq. (3.10) gives

$$S(a_{1},t) - S(0,t) + \frac{dS_{1}}{dt} = -\alpha_{1}S_{1}(t) - \gamma_{1}S_{1}(t) - \mu_{1}S_{1}(t)$$

$$s'_{1}(t) = \frac{S'_{1}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{1}} - qs_{1}(t)$$

$$s'_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{qt}P_{1}} [S(0,t) - S(a_{1},t) - \alpha_{1}S_{1}(t) - \gamma_{1}S_{1}(t) - \mu_{1}S_{1}(t)] - qs_{1}(t)$$

$$s'_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{qt}P_{1}} [\lambda_{2}\{S_{2}(t) + (1-\varepsilon)I_{2}(t) + bU_{2}(t)\} - c_{1}S_{1}(t) - \mu_{1}S_{1}(t)] - qs_{1}(t)$$

$$s'_{1}(t) = \frac{\lambda_{2}P_{2}}{P_{1}} [s_{2} + (1-\varepsilon)i_{2}(t) + bu_{2}(t)] - (q+c_{1}+\mu_{1})s_{1}(t)$$
(3.11)

Similarly we get other equations

For j=2 
$$S(a_2,t) - S(a_1,t) + \frac{dS_2}{dt} = -\alpha_2 S_2(t) - \gamma_2 S_2(t) - \mu_2 S_2(t)$$

RNI No.UPENG/2012/4262 VOL.-8, ISSUE-2 (Part-1) April 2019 P: ISSN No. 0976-8602

•

E: ISSN No. 2349-9443  

$$s_{2}(t) = \frac{S_{2}(t)}{e^{at}P_{2}} - q_{S_{2}(t)}$$

$$s_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} [S(a_{1},t) - S(a_{2},t) - \alpha_{2}S_{2}(t) - \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - \mu_{2}S_{2}(t)] - q_{S_{2}(t)}$$

$$s_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} [S(a_{1},t) - S(a_{2},t) - \alpha_{2}S_{2}(t) - \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - \mu_{2}S_{2}(t)] - q_{S_{2}(t)}$$

$$s_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{P_{2}} S_{1}(t) - (\alpha_{2} + \gamma_{2} + q + c_{2} + \mu_{2})s_{1}(t)$$

$$(3.12)$$

$$I(a_{1},t) - I(a_{1-1},t) + \frac{dI_{1}}{dt} = \alpha_{1}\int_{a_{1},a}^{a_{1}} S(a,t)da + \gamma_{1}\int_{a_{1},a}^{a_{1}} S(a,t)da - (\sigma_{j} + v_{j} + \mu_{j})\int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_{j-1}} I(a,t)da$$
for j=1  $I(a_{1},t) - I(0,t) + \frac{dI_{1}}{dt} = \alpha_{1}S_{1}(t) + \gamma_{1}S_{1}(t) - (\sigma_{1} + v_{1} + \mu_{1})I_{1}(t)$ 

$$i_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} [I(0,t) - I(a_{1},t) + \alpha_{1}S_{1}(t) + \gamma_{1}S_{1}(t) - (\sigma_{1} + v_{1} + \mu_{1})I_{1}(t)] - q_{1}(t)$$

$$i_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} [I(0,t) - I(a_{1},t) + \alpha_{3}S_{1}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t)]$$

$$f_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} I(a_{1},t) - I(a_{2},t) + \alpha_{3}S_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t)]$$

$$f_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} I_{1}(t) + \alpha_{2}S_{1}(t) + \alpha_{3}S_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t)] - q_{1}(t)$$

$$f_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} I_{1}(t) + \alpha_{2}S_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t)] - q_{1}(t)$$

$$f_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} I_{1}(t) + \alpha_{2}S_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t)] - q_{1}(t)$$

$$I_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{2}} I_{1}(t) + \alpha_{2}S_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}S_{2}(t) - (\sigma_{2} + v_{2} + \mu_{2})I_{2}(t) - q_{1}(t)$$

$$I_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} I_{1}(t) - I(a_{1},t) + \frac{dU_{1}}{dt} = \sigma_{1}\int_{a_{1},c} I(a,t)da - (\theta_{1} + \mu_{1})\int_{a_{1},c} U(a,t)da$$

$$U(a_{1},t) - U(a_{1},t) + \frac{dU_{1}}{dt} = \sigma_{1}I_{1}(t) - (\theta_{1} + \mu_{1})U_{1}(t)$$

$$I_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} [U(0,t) - U(a_{1},t) + \sigma_{1}I_{1}(t) - (\theta_{1} + \mu_{1})U_{1}(t)]$$

$$I_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} [Q(0,t) - U(a_{1},t) + \sigma_{1}I_{1}(t) - (\theta_{1} + \mu_{1})U_{1}(t)$$

$$I_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{at}P_{1}} [Q(0,t) - U(a_{1},t) + \sigma_{1}I_{1}(t) - (\theta_{1} + \mu_{1})U_{1}($$

E: ISSN No. 2349-9443  

$$u'_{2}(t) = \frac{U'_{2}(t)}{e^{qt}P_{2}} - qu_{2}(t)$$

$$u'_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{e^{qt}P_{2}} [U(a_{1},t) - U(a_{2},t) + \sigma_{2}I_{2}(t) - (\theta_{2} + \mu_{2})U_{2}(t)] - qu_{2}(t) \qquad (3.16)$$

Then, equation (3.11)-(3.16) give the two-age groups HIV/AIDS epidemic model as

$$\begin{split} s'_{1}(t) &= \frac{\lambda_{2}P_{2}}{P_{1}} \left[ s_{2} + (1-\varepsilon)i_{2}(t) + bu_{2}(t) \right] - (q+c_{1}+\mu_{1})s_{1}(t) \\ s'_{2}(t) &= \frac{\lambda_{2}P_{1}}{P_{2}} s_{1}(t) - (\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2}+q+c_{2}+\mu_{2})s_{1}(t) \\ i'_{1}(t) &= \frac{\varepsilon(1-p)P_{2}\lambda_{2}}{P_{1}} i_{2}(t) - (q+c_{1}+\sigma_{1}+\nu_{1}+\mu_{1})i_{1}(t) \\ i'_{2}(t) &= \frac{c_{1}P_{1}}{P_{2}} i_{1}(t) + \alpha_{2}s_{2}(t) + \gamma_{2}s_{2}(t) - (q+c_{2}+\sigma_{2}+\nu_{2}+\mu_{2})I_{2}(t) \\ u'_{1}(t) &= \frac{P_{2}\lambda_{2}}{P_{1}} \left[ \varepsilon p i_{2}(t) + (1-b)u_{2}(t) \right] + \sigma_{1}i_{1}(t) - (q+c_{1}+\theta_{1}+\mu_{1})u_{1}(t) \\ u'_{2}(t) &= \frac{P_{1}c_{1}}{P_{21}} u_{1}(t) + \sigma_{2}i_{2}(t) - (q+c_{2}+\theta_{2}+\mu_{2})u_{2}(t) \end{split}$$

# Analysis of the Model

6

## Assuming a Constant HIV Prevalence

Consider the case where the HIV prevalence, in the sexually active adult group, is a constant. Then the infection rate  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\gamma_2(t)$  can be taken constant. Here, we will assume that there is no sexual interaction with the AIDS group and as such, in epidemiological class we will have  $s_j + i_j + u_j = 1$  for *j*=1, 2. Thus, the system can be reduced to a 4-dimensional system with  $s_1 = 1 - i_1 - u_1$  and  $s_2 = 1 - i_2 - u_2$ , to give

$$\dot{i}_{1}(t) = \frac{\varepsilon(1-p)P_{2}\lambda_{2}}{P_{1}}i_{2}(t) - (q+c_{1}+\sigma_{1}+v_{1}+\mu_{1})\dot{i}_{1}(t) \qquad (4.1)$$

$$\dot{i}_{2}(t) = \frac{c_{1}P_{1}}{P_{2}}i_{1}(t) + (\alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2})(1-i_{2}(t)-u_{2}(t)) - (q+c_{2}+\sigma_{2}+v_{2}+\mu_{2})\dot{i}_{2}(t) \qquad (4.2)$$

$$\dot{i}_{1}(t) = \frac{P_{2}\lambda_{2}}{P_{1}}\left[\varepsilon pi_{2}(t) + (1-b)u_{2}(t)\right] + \sigma_{1}\dot{i}_{1}(t) - (q+c_{1}+\theta_{1}+\mu_{1})u_{1}(t) \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\dot{u}_{2}(t) = \frac{c_{1}P_{1}}{P_{2}}u_{1}(t) + \sigma_{2}\dot{i}_{2}(t) - (q+c_{2}+\theta_{2}+\mu_{2})u_{2}(t) \qquad (4.4)$$

#### **Positivity of Solutions**

In this section, we prove that all solutions of the system (4.1)-(4.4) with positive initial data will remain positive for all times t > 0. Lemma 1

# Let the initial data be $i_1(0) = i_{1,0} > 0$ , $i_2(0) = i_2$ $_0 \ge 0$ , $u_1(0) = u_{1,0} \ge 0$ , $u_2(0) = u_{2,0} \ge 0$ for all *t*. Then, the solution $(i_1(t), i_2(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))$ of the model remain positive for all time t > 0.

#### Proof

From equation (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{di_{1}(t)}{dt} &= \frac{\varepsilon(1-p)P_{2}\lambda_{2}}{P_{1}}i_{2}(t) - (q+c_{1}+\sigma_{1}+\nu_{1}+\mu_{1})i_{1}(t) \\ \frac{di_{1}(t)}{dt} &\geq -(q+c_{1}+\sigma_{1}+\nu_{1}+\mu_{1})i_{1}(t) \end{aligned}$$

From which we get,

 $i_1(t) \ge c_1 \exp\{-(q+c_1+\sigma_1+v_1+\mu_1)t\} > 0$ . Where  $c_1$  is a constant of integration. A similar reasoning on the remaining equations shows that they are always positive for t > 0.

## Stability Analysis

In this section, we present the results of stability analysis of model (4.1)-(4.4) equilibria. **Equilibra of the Model** 

For simplicity we can write the eq. of system (4.1)-(4.4)

$$\dot{i'_1}(t) = m_1 i_2(t) - m_2 i_1(t)$$
(5.1)

$$u_{2}(t) = m_{3}l_{1}(t) + m_{4} - m_{4}u_{2} - m_{5}l_{2}(t)$$
(5.2)

$$u_{1}(t) = m_{6}t_{2}(t) + m_{7}u_{2}(t) + O_{1}t_{1}(t) - m_{8}u_{1}(t)$$
(5.3)

$$u'_{2}(t) = m_{3}u_{1}(t) + \sigma_{2}i_{2}(t) - m_{9}u_{2}(t)$$
 (5.4)

where,  $m_1 = \frac{\varepsilon(1-p)P_2\lambda_2}{P_1}$ ,

$$m_{2} = (q + c_{1} + \sigma_{1} + \nu_{1} + \mu_{1}), m_{3} = \frac{c_{1}P_{1}}{P_{2}}$$
$$m_{2} = (\alpha_{1} + \nu_{2})$$

$$m_{4} - (\omega_{2} + \gamma_{2}),$$
  

$$m_{5} = (q + \alpha_{2} + \gamma_{2} + c_{2} + \sigma_{2} + \nu_{2} + \mu_{2}),$$
  

$$m_{6} = \frac{\epsilon p P_{2} \lambda_{2}}{P_{1}}, \quad m_{7} = \frac{(1 - b) P_{2} \lambda_{2}}{P_{1}},$$
  

$$m_{8} = (q + c_{1} + \theta_{1} + \mu_{1}),$$
  

$$m_{9} = (q + c_{2} + \theta_{2} + \mu_{2})$$

The endemic equilibrium for the above system of equation is given by

$$E_{1}(i_{1}^{*}, i_{2}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*})$$

$$i_{1}^{*} = \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}i_{2}^{*},$$

$$i_{2}^{*} = \frac{m_{4}}{m_{4}\left[\frac{\sigma_{2}}{m_{9}} + m_{3}\omega\right] + \frac{m_{5}m_{2} - m_{1}m_{3}}{m_{2}},$$

$$u_{1}^{*} = m_{9}\omega i_{2}^{*}, \quad u_{2}^{*} = \left[\frac{\sigma_{2}}{m_{9}} + m_{3}\omega\right]i_{2}^{*},$$

Where

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\left[m_8 m_9 - m_3 m_7\right]} \left[m_6 + \frac{m_7 \sigma_2}{m_9} + \frac{m_1 \sigma_1}{m_2}\right]$$

Endemic equilibrium will exist if  $m_8 m_9 > m_3 m_7$  and

 $m_2 m_5 > m_1 m_3$  . In terms of parameters condition can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(1-b)c_1 &> (c_1+q+\theta_1+\mu_1)(c_2+q+\theta_2+\mu_2) \\ (q+\alpha_2+\gamma_2+c_2+\sigma_2+\nu_2+\mu_2) \\ (q+c_1+\sigma_1+\nu_1+\mu_1) &> \varepsilon(1-p)c_1\lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

# Asian Resonance

Local Stability of the Equilibrium

To determine the local stability of  $E_1$ , the following variational matrix of the system (5.1)-(5.4) is computed around  $E_1$  as,

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -m_{2} & m_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ m_{3} & -m_{5} & 0 & -m_{4} \\ \sigma_{1} & m_{6} & -m_{8} & m_{7} \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & m_{3} & -m_{9} \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic equation corresponding to the matrix is given by

$$f(x) = \lambda^4 + a_1 \lambda^3 + a_2 \lambda^2 + a_3 \lambda + a_4 = 0 \quad (5.5)$$
  
Nhere,

 $a_1 = (m_2 + m_5 + m_8 + m_9)$ 

 $\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \{m_5m_8 + m_2m_9 + m_2m_8 + m_5m_9 + (m_8m_9 - m_3m_7) + \sigma_2m_4 + (m_2m_5 - m_1m_3)\} \\ a_3 &= (m_8 + m_9)(m_2m_5 - m_1m_3) + m_2m_5m_9 + (m_2 + m_5)(m_8m_9 - m_3m_7) + \sigma_2m_2m_4 \\ &+ \sigma_2m_4m_8 + m_3m_4m_6 \end{aligned}$ 

 $a_4 = (m_2m_5 - m_1m_3)(m_8m_9 - m_3m_7) + \sigma_2m_2m_4m_8 + m_2m_3m_4m_6 + \sigma_1m_1m_3m_4$ Since endemic equilibrium will exist if

 $m_8m_9 > m_3m_7$  and  $m_2m_5 > m_1m_3$ . Therefore,  $a_i>0$  for *i*=1,2,3,4. Thus by Routh-Hurwith criteria,  $\vec{E}$  is locally asymptotically stable as if the remaining

conditions  $a_1a_2 - a_3 > 0$ , and  $a_1a_2a_3 - a_3^2 - a_1^2a_4 > 0$  are satisfied.

## Global Stability of the Equilibrium

To show the globally stability behavior of  $E_1$ , we need the bounds of dependent variables involved. For this we find the region of attraction stated in the form of following lemma. Lemma 2

The set

(5.6)

$$\Omega = \{ (i_1, i_2, u_1, u_2); 0 \le i_1 + u_1 \le 1; 0 \le i_2 + u_2 \le 1 \}$$
  
is a region of attraction for the system (5.1)-(5.4).  
**Theorem 1**

If the endemic equilibrium  $E_1$  exists, then it is globally asymptotically stable provided the following sufficient condition are satisfied in  $\Omega$ ,

$$3[m_1 + m_3]^2 < 2m_2m_5$$

**Proof.** Consider the following positive definite function about *E*<sub>1</sub>;

$$V = \frac{1}{2} (i_1 - i_1^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_1 (i_2 - i_2^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2 (u_1 - u_1^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_3 (u_2 - u_2^*)^2$$
(5.7)

where the constants  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  can be chosen suitably

The derivative of V along the solution of the system (5.1)-(5.4) can be written as

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (i_1 - i_1^*)\frac{di_1}{dt} + k_1(i_2 - i_2^*)\frac{di_2}{dt} + k_2(u_1 - u_1^*)\frac{du_1}{dt} + k_3(u_2 - u_2^*)\frac{du_2}{dt}$$

P: ISSN No. 0976-8602

E: ISSN No. 2349-9443  

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -m_2(i_1 - i_1^*)^2 - m_5k_1(i_2 - i_2^*)^2 - m_8k_2(u_1 - u_1^*)^2 - m_9k_3(u_2 - u_2^*)^2 + [m_1 + k_1m_3](i_1 - i_1^*)(i_2 - i_2^*) + [k_3\sigma_2 - k_1m_4](i_2 - i_2^*)(u_2 - u_2^*)$$

$$+k_{2}m_{6}(\dot{i}_{2}-\dot{i}_{2}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})+[k_{2}m_{7}+k_{3}m_{3}](u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{*})(u_{2}-u_{2}^{*})+k_{2}\sigma_{1}(\dot{i}_{1}-\dot{i}_{1}^{*})(u_{1}-u_{1}^{$$

negative definite that

$$\left[m_1 + k_1 m_3\right]^2 < \frac{2}{3} m_2 m_5 k_1 \tag{5.8}$$

$$[k_3\sigma_2 - k_1m_4]^2 < \frac{2}{3}m_5m_9k_1k_3$$
 (5.9)

$$k_2^2 m_6^2 < \frac{4}{9} m_5 m_8 k_1 k_2 \tag{5.10}$$

$$[k_2m_7 + k_3m_3]^2 < \frac{2}{3}m_8m_9k_2k_3 \qquad (5.11)$$

$$k_2^2 \sigma_1^2 < \frac{2}{3} m_2 m_8 k_2 \tag{5.12}$$

After maximizing the LHS and minimizing the RHS and choosing  $k_1=1$ , the stability condition can be obtained as follows,

$$3[m_1+m_3]^2 < 2m_2m_5$$

where the constants  $k \ge 0$  (*i*=1, 2, 3) can be chosen such that

$$k_{3} = \frac{m_{4}}{\sigma_{2}} \text{ and}$$

$$k_{2} < \min\left(\frac{2m_{2}m_{8}}{3\sigma_{1}^{2}}, \frac{4m_{5}m_{8}}{9m_{6}^{2}}\right),$$

$$3[k_{2}m_{7}\sigma_{2} + m_{4}m_{3}]^{2} < 2m_{8}m_{9}\sigma_{2}^{2}k_{2}$$

#### Numerical Analysis and Discussion

We give here numerical simulation of the equilibrium and stability conditions of the model (4.1-4.4).

We integrate the system (4.1-4.4) by fourth order Runge-Kutta method using the following set of parameter values:  $P_2 = 3000$ ,  $P_1 = 1000$ ,  $\varepsilon = .002$ , p =.003,  $\lambda_2 = 1.43$ ,  $\alpha_2 = .02$ ,  $\sigma_2 = .2$ ,  $\gamma_2 = .124$ ,  $c_2 = .1$ , q = .2,  $\mu_2 = .03$ ,  $\nu_2 = .03$ ,  $\theta_2 = .05$ ,  $\sigma_1 = .1$ ,  $c_1 = .3$ ,  $\mu_1 = .02$ , ν<sub>1</sub> =.004, θ<sub>1</sub> = .003, with initial values  $i_1(0)$ = .0396,  $i_2(0)$  = .32,  $u_1(0)$  = .068 and  $u_2(0)$  = .115, the co-

infection equilibrium values are computed as,

$$i_1 = .03983271411, i_2 = .3257746976,$$

 $u_1^* = .06865540307, \quad u_2^* = .1202275282,$ 

The eigenvalues corresponding to the endemic equilibrium  $E_1$  are given by,

.8314444099

Since all the eigen values are negative, the endemic equilibrium  $E_1$  is locally asymptotically stable.

The nonlinear stability behavior of  $E_1$  in  $i_2$  –  $u_2$  and  $i_1 - u_1$  plane is shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2 respectively. We see from these figures that all the trajectories tend towards the equilibrium point  $E_1$ . Hence, we infer that the system (4.1)-(4.4) may be globally stable about the endemic equilibrium  $E_1$  for the above set of parameters. The results of numerical simulation are displayed graphically in Figs.(3-10). Fig.(3-4) depicts the variation of sexually mature normal infective population and the population of premature infective children with time for different treatment rates. It is found that with the increase in the treatment rate  $\,\sigma_{_2}$  , the sexually mature normal infective population decreases and the population of pre-mature normal infective children also decreases which in turn increases the sexually mature treated infective population and pre-mature treated infective children (see Figs.5-6) . Figs.(7-10) show the effect of age-specific force of infection  $\gamma_2$  on all the classes. It

is clear that with increase in the value of  $\gamma_2$ , the population of all the classes increase which make the disease more endemic.

Fig.1. Global stability in  $i_2 - u_2$  plane





Asian Resonance Fig5. Variation of sexually mature treated

infectives with time for different rate of  $\sigma_2$ 



Fig6. Variation of sexually immature treated infective children with time for different rate of



Fig7. Variation of sexually immature treated infective children with time for different rate of  $\gamma_2$ 



Fig8. Variation of sexually immature normal infective children with time for different rate of  $\gamma_2$ 



Fig9. Variation of sexually mature normal







Asian Resonance

In this paper, a continuous age-structured model has been taken to derive a two-age groups HIV/AIDS epidemic model. It is assumed that HIV infection confers treatment, and the infective agent can be transmitted not only by horizontally but also vertically from adult individuals to their newborn. The model is first derived as a system of partial differential equations, and then age groups are defined so that by adding up all the individuals within each age group, the model reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations. The model is analyzed by using stability theory of differential equation and numerical simulation. We show that both the disease-free and endemic equilibrium exists. The model analysis shows that the increase in treatment will decrease the epidemic and the epidemic slows down more rapidly if the treated infectives do not take part in the sexual contact. It is also noted that disease can be kept under control upto the desired level by reducing the contact rate between susceptible and normal infective Reference

- Anderson, R.M., May, R.M., Boily, M.C., Garnett, G.P., and Rowley, J.T. (1991) .The spread of HIV-1 in Africa: sexual contact patterns and the predicted demographic impact on AIDS. Nature 352: 281-289.
- Blynthe, S.P. and Anderson, R.M.(1988). Heterogeneous sexual activity models of HIV transmission in male homosexual populations. J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol. 5(4): 237-260.
- Brauer, F.(1990). Models for the spread of Universally fatal diseases. J. Math. Biol. 28 451-462.
- Busenberg, S. and Castillo-Chavez, C. (1991). A general solution of the problem of mixing of subpopulation and its Application to Risk and Age-Structured epidemic models for the spread of AIDS. IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Bio :1-29.
- Castillo-chavez, C., Hethcote, H.W., Anderson, V., Levin, S.A. and Liu, W. M. (1989) Epidemilogical Models with Age-structure, proportionate mixing and cross-immunity. J. Math. Biol. 27 133-258.
- Chu, S. Y., Oxtoby, M. J. and Killourne, B. W. (1991). The impact of HIV epidemic on mortality of children, United States. Pediatrics 87: 806-810.
- Emvudu, Y., Bongor, D. , Koïna, R.,(2016). Mathematical analysis of HIV/AIDS stochastic dynamic models. Appl. Math. Mod.. 40. 9131-9151.
- Gao, L .Q. and Hethcote, H.W.(1992). Disease transmission models with density-dependent demographics. J. Math. Biol. 30 717-731.
- Gao, L.Q., Mena-Lorca, J. and Hethcote, H.W.(1995). Four SEI Endemic models with Periodicity and separatrics. Math. Biosc, 128 157-187.
- Greenhalgh, D. (1987). Analytic Results on the stability of Age-structured Recurrent Epidemic Models. IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol. 4 109-144.

- Greenhalgh, D. (1988). Threshold and stability results for an Epidemic model with an Age-Structured Meeting Rate. IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol. 5 81-100
- Hethcote, W.H. (1997). An age-structured model for pertussis transmission. Math. Biosc. 145: 89-136.
- Huo, H F Chen. R., Wang, X.Y.,(2016). Modelling and stability of HIV/AIDS epidemic model with treatment. Appl. Math. Mad. 40: 6550-6559.
- Inaba, H. (1990). Threshold and Stability results for an age-structured epidemic model. J. Math. Biol. 28: 411-434.
- Iversen, A.K., Fugger, L., Eugen-olsen, J., Balsley, U., Jensen, T., Wahl, S., Gerstoft, J., Mullins, J.I. and Skinhoj, P. (1998). Cervical human immunodeficiency
- Luboobi. L.S. (1994). A Three-Age Groups Model for the HIV/AIDS epidemic and the effect of Medical/Social Intervention. Math. Comp. Modelling. 19(9): 91-105.
- Wang, W., Chen, H.,Fu, R.(2016). Luo, J., Bifurcations of a mathematical model for HIV dynamics. J. Math. Ana. App. 434(1): 837-857.
- Mugisha, J.Y.T. and Luboobi, L.S.(2000a). The Endemicity of HIV/AIDS in a Three-Age

# Asian Resonance Structured population. Intern. J. of Mgnt and Syst. 16(2);126-136.

- Mugisha, J.Y.T. and Luboobi, L.S.(2000b). The Effects of Vaccinating Susceptible Adult against HIV/AIDS in a Two-Age Groups population. Zim. J. Sc. Tech. 1(2): 91-103.
- Mugisha, J.Y.T. and Luboobi, L.S.(2003) . Modelling the effect of vertical transmission in the dynamics of HIV/AIDS in an age- structured population. S. Pac. J. Nat. Sci. 21: 82-90.
- Newell, M.L. (1998). Mechanisms and timing of mother to-child transmission of HIV-1. AIDS 12:832-837.
- Busenberg and K.Cooke(1993), Vertically S. Diseases: Models Transmitted and Dynamics, Biomathematics 23, Springer, Berlin.
- Shearer, W.T., Reuben, J., Lee, M.B., Popek, E.J., Lewis, D.E., Hammil, H.H., Hanson, I. C. Kline, M.W. and Langston, C. (1997). Role of placentral cytokines and inflammation in vertical transmission of HIV infection. Acta Paediatr 421: 33-38.
- Tudor, D. W.(1985) An age-Dependent Epidemic Model with Application to Measles. Mat. Bio. Sci. 73:131-147.